

# Interview Summary

Application No.

10/600,658

Applicant(s)

KANNO ET AL.

Examiner

John Hoffmann

Art Unit

1731

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) John Hoffmann. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Christopher Bullard. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 30 August 2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 4, 5, 6, 11 & 12

Identification of prior art discussed: Le Temps

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

JOHN HOFFMANN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
GROUP 1300

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

John Hoffmann 30 Aug 07  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

(Clarifying) language was discussed for the claims.  
Except for 'individually' it appeared ~~that~~ that corrections  
for all of the 112 rejections ~~was~~ were found. Exr. was  
still unsure whether merely having movements with individual  
paths (in Le Temps) reads on moving individually.